

Previous referen
G P 4285-7 8/12-1
88 112

File No.

9/13

1904

(Education)

Later references
250-1904

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. 1-7

PART B FOR November 1904

SUBJECT

Petition from C Latchmenarayana Rao, Asst Insp
Dharmabaram complaining against the order of
Hq of Eduⁿ dismissing his son from the Chikballapur High Sch
& praying for his readmission

FILF No Ed 20 of 1903 04

Petition from C Lakshmi Narayana Rao Revenue Inspector Dharmataram complaining against the order of the Inspector General of Education dismissing his son from the Chikballapur High School and praying for his re admission

- 1 Petition from Mr C Lakshmi Narayana Rao Revenue Inspector Dharmavaram Registered No 2073 dated 4th March 1904
Complains against the order of the Inspector General of Education dismissing his son C L Govinda Rao from the II Form of the Chikballapur High School and prays for his re admission
- 2 To the Inspector General of Education No G 4943 dated 5th April 1904
Forwards the above petition and asks him to submit a report showing clearly the facts of the case together with his opinion in the matter
- 3 From the Inspector General of Education No 10247-121 dated 20th May 1904
Gives a full account of the case against C L Govinda Rao and states that he has been justly punished and that his father's petition may be rejected
- 4 To C Lakshmi Narayana Rao No G 6634 dated 30th June 1904
States that Government see no reason to interfere with the orders of the Inspector General of Education and rejects his petition
- 5 To the Inspector General of Education No G 6633 dated 30th June 1904
Forwards copy of the above endorsement and enquires whether it is not desirable to replace the Headmaster at Chikballapur by a more competent man
- 6 From the Inspector General of Education No 36445-215 dated 15th October 1904
States that he will visit the school at Chikballapur in January next and then submit a report about the Headmaster
- 7 To the Inspector General of Education No 3816 dated 10th November 1904
Records the above communication and states that his report will be awaited

G P 42837 Ed -112 dated 12th October 1897

Mysore Government
General & Revenue Secretariat

File No (if any)

Directions —

No date and purport of receipts to be entered in red and office note in black ink in order of dates — To be written only on one side and inside the line of margin

Ed F- 20 ¹²⁰³⁻⁴ Office Note

Branch

General (Gen)

Sl 1

Subject: Petition No 2073 of the 1st March 1904, from Mr C Lakshminarayana Rao, Rev Insp, Dharmavaram, Complaining against the order of the I & L of Bd, dismissing his son C L Govinda Rao from the V Form of the Chikballapur High School and praying for his readmission

Sl 2

A.

2 The above petition was forwarded to the I & L of Bd for report (Vide G.O No 4943 of 5/4/04)

Sl 3

3 The I & L in his present letter No ⁴²¹ 10247 of the 20th May 1904, explain the circumstances under which the student was dismissed

Subd for order
KRM
30/5/04

FILF No Ed 20 of 1903 04

Petition from C Lakshmi Narayana Rao Revenue Inspector Dharmavaram complaining against the order of the Inspector General of Education dismissing his son from the Chikballapur High School and praying for his re admission

- 1 Petition from Mr C Lakshmi Narayana Rao Revenue Inspector Dharmavaram Registered No 2073 dated 4th March 1904
Complains against the order of the Inspector General of Education dismissing his son C L Govinda Rao from the II Form of the Chikballapur High School and prays for his re admission
- 2 To the Inspector General of Education No G 4943 dated 5th April 1904
Forwards the above petition and asks him to submit a report showing clearly the facts of the case together with his opinion in the matter
- 3 From the Inspector General of Education No 10247-421 dated 20th May 1904
Gives a full account of the case against C L Govinda Rao and states that he has been justly punished and that his father's petition may be rejected
- 4 To C Lakshmi Narayana Rao No G 6634 dated 30th June 1904
States that Government see no reason to interfere with the orders of the Inspector General of Education and rejects his petition
- 5 To the Inspector General of Education No G 6633 dated 30th June 1904
Forwards copy of the above endorsement and enquires whether it is not desirable to replace the Headmaster at Chikballapur by a more competent man
- 6 From the Inspector General of Education No 3644 5-215 dated 15th October 1904
States that he will visit the school at Chikballapur in January next and then submit a report about the Headmaster
- 7 To the Inspector General of Education No 3816 dated 10th November 1904
Records the above communication and states that his report will be awaited

G P 42857 Ed -112 dated 12th October 1897

20 F-20 1203-4-
Office Note

Mysore Government
General & Revenue Secretariat

File No (if any)

Directions —

No date and purport of receipts to
be entered in red and office note in black
in order of dates — To be written only
one id in the line of margin

Branch

General (Gen)

Sl 1

Subject: Petition No 2073 of the 4th
March 1904 from Mr C Lakshmi-
Narayana Rao, Rev Insp^r, Dhurma
varam Complaining against the
order of the I of Bd^r, dismissing
his son C & Govinda Rao from
the V Form of the Chikballapur
High School and praying for his
readmission

Sl 2

2 The above petition was
forwarded to the I of Bd^r for
report (Vide G.O. No 4943 of 5/4/04)

Sl 3

3 The I of Bd^r in his present letter
No 10247 of the 20th May 1904 explain
the circumstances under which the
Student was dismissed

Subd for order
KRM
30/5/04

Introduce the complaint under

4 It seems to be clear that the first provocation came from the school peons who were indulging in foul abuse of some school boys at random. This led to remonstrances from some high-spirited (or, according to Mr Bhabha, turbulent) boys, of whom C. L. Govinda Rao was one and eventually to a fight, in which no doubt both the boy and the peon (or peons) knocked one another about pretty considerably. A complaint was made to the Head Master, Mr B. Sreenivasalinga but as he was apparently apathetic, or tardy in taking action (Mr Bhabha admits he was

wrong in not having made an immediate investigation —), Govinda Rao filed a criminal complaint probably under the advice of men older than himself.

5 The boy may have been ill-advised in his action, but it is difficult to understand why a complaint against a peon for assault should have been introduced as a complaint in

regarded as a rebellion against⁵ school-discipline or should have been visited with a demand for a humiliating apology and eventually with expulsion, and this not merely from the particular school but from all High Schools in the State for a period of nearly fifteen months (Sept 1903 to Jan 1905)

6 One cannot help feeling that milder corrective measures ^{might probably} have sufficed in dealing with ~~the~~ breach of discipline as the boy was guilty of, & that he was treated with undue severity as if he was a grown-up culprit, and the feeling is by no means removed by the sweeping remarks in condemnation of the boys & the people of Chitballapur generally, which are made in para 4 of Mr Bhalnath's letter

7 It appears from the petition of Lakshminarayana Rao (father of Jorinda Rao) that the boy withdrew his complaint under

assurances given him of restoration to school. The cross-complaint by the plean seems also to have been withdrawn at the same time. The records of these cases are not before me but can be sent for if required for reference.

The order of expulsion has been passed by the Inspector General under Rule 8 of the Inter School Rules, which authorizes expulsion "on account of grave misconduct." The pet.^r ^{L N Rao} appeals from this order.

1508
2-6-14

In the Dewan
No 187-Cr


L. N. Rao

This is a matter affecting school discipline & I have looked ~~into the matter~~ ^{over with} Mr. Bhalla ~~and the Inspector~~ ^{the Inspector}. He is perfectly satisfied that the punishment was fully deserved & none but severe discipline is required in such a case like this in high school, especially when the

be ~~be~~ is decidedly of
 opinion that the boys
 conduct after making
 all possible allowance
 was ~~was~~ most repre-
 hensible and highly
 subversive of discipline
 In agreeing with him I
 have not lost sight of
 the fact that the Head
 Master could ~~not~~
 have managed things better
 by a little more tact
 and promptitude. The
 boy and his father &
 friends seem to have
 throughout assumed a
 defiant attitude which
 could not be tolerated.
 The relation may
 be perfect with the
 observation that I do
 see no reason to interfere.

18443
 18 6-24

17/6/04

17/6/04

11 I agree - But the
 School Master is obviously
 incompetent Why should
 he be not-charged?

Prepare
 Endorsement
 accordingly

21 6-1904

19 11 04

Draft endorsement Subd. 1, 14, 1
 25/6/04 Done by 2-1-1

Sl 4 - 12 An endorsement No Gbb³⁴
of 20/6/04 ^{was issued} to the petitioner
stating that Govt see no
reason to interfere with the
order of the Igt.

Sl 5 - 13 Letter No Gbb³³ of 20/6/04
to the Igt

Page 7

Forward copy of Sl No 4
and request him to report
whether Mr B Sreenivasagar
Head Master of the Chik-
ballapur High School who
has proved himself incompetent
should not be trans Replaced
by a more competent man

Sl 6 14 Docket No $\frac{3644-5}{215}$ from the
Igt - states that he will
inspect the School in January
next and submit a Report
as to whether Mr B Sree-
nivasagar should be transfe

Serial
No 7

or not

15 May the Lgl's docket be
recorded with the observation that
the submission of the report will
be awaited? Draft subd

27/3/04

Yes

H. Ramaswami

~~Wait for report~~

4/11/04

5.11.1904

7 G.O. No 3816 of 10/11/04 - to the
Lgl of Bd
Record Pl No 6 with the obser-
vation that the Subn of the
report is awaited

DF 20 of '03 or

52/11

1904

Transferred to the
General Branch
(Education)

22-3-04

Recd
29/3/04
not

Sub

There are no references
on the subject in the Encl
Branch May this be forwarded
to the I & L of order report?

13/5/04
J. S. M.
31/3/04

No 2073

93152

Name of Petitioner C. L. Srinivasan
Rev. Inspector

Village

Dharmavaram

Taluk

District

Srinagar

Date of Arzi

4/3/04

Date of Receipt

9/3/04

Date of Disposal

No of Enclosure

ABSTRACT

States that his son, C. L.
Gouridass, who was a student
in the Govt High School at
Chikkaballapur of Beda Criminal
Complaint against the
School peon Yerrappa for
assault engaged Advocate
Mr. K. Narayana Reddy, that
the person referred to by the
investigation of the head
master and some lawyers
who are the opposite parties
to the Advocate engaged by
the petitioner's son, filed the

Complaint against the
petitioner's son that the
petitioner's sons witnesses
were threatened, that just
before the case was to be
heard the head master
dismissed the petitioner's
son & reported against him
that when the case came
for evidence the witnesses
and the lawyer who appeared
for the firm pressed that
the case may be withdrawn
& stated that the petitioner's son
would be restored to the
school; that the case
was withdrawn accordingly,
but the petitioner's son was
not admitted to the school
Prays that the case of his
son who is innocent be
reconsidered and that
son be restored to the
school

Further states that the appeal
made to the Superintendent
of Education in the matter
was not considered

Wf
22/3/04

By Govinda Rao
8/3/04
2073

STF 20 of 03 on SL 1

3

Mysore Government
General and Public Secretary
Police Department
Regd No. <u>2073 R</u>
Date <u>8-3-1904</u>

From,

C. Taxminaravana Rao,
Revenue Inspector,
Dharravaram

To,

The Dewan of Mysore,

Bangalore

Sir,

My son C. Govinda Rao was reading in the V form of the Government High School at Chilla Ballapur and now stands dismissed under your orders

2 As I find from my long and careful enquiry that my son is innocent and does not deserve any punishment and much less a dismissal, I take the liberty to put before you an unvarnished statement of facts for your kind consideration

3 On the 17th September 1903, my son while returning from his house at about 2-30 or 2-10 P. M., -recess hour- he found the school peon Yerrappa abusing some unknown person in the foulest language which no school boy should ever hear. My unfortunate son unable to hear such abuses told the peon not to use such a language in the presence of school boys but to go and to report

to

to the Head Master who would find out and punish any mischievous boy that may have broken the piece of cornice work of the pillar. The peon then abused my son in the same foul language and told him that he should have been the author of the mischief, when my son informed him that it was wrong of him to abuse him and that he would report him to the Head Master. Then the peon threw my poor son down and beat him causing two bleeding wounds below his right eye, and was very high making him blind. Some boys then separated the peon. My son went direct to the Head Master and reported to him everything in detail. The Head Master was pleased to say as follows - "You see Govinda Rao the peon is a sudra, when a sudra is in an angry mood he may take away even your life, you should not have spoken to him". On this my son obtained leave from his class master, and reported the matter to the Gentleman under whose care I had left him. At the direction of his quondam guardian he engaged the services of Mr K Narayana Iyah Advocate, and filed a Criminal complaint against the peon for assault.

At this stage I beg you to consider what to my mind appears a very plain thing. What had the reputation of the Institution or of the school masters to do with an offence that was committed out of the school time and out side the school building? If the Head Master had kept quiet the case would have been enquired into and if it had been proved, the peon would have been fined a rupee or two, if other wise, the case would have been thrown out. In either case, it was purely a personal matter between the parties concerned and had nothing to do with the Institution.

I have gathered sufficient facts to convince me that the Head Master is a thick friend of two or three lawyers who have formed into a clique and has been trying to make Chikla Ballapur too hot for Advocate Mr. Narayanaiah who has been getting the Lion's share of the practice there. Because my son's case had been taken up by Mr. Narayanaiah, the head master and his friends took up the cause of the peon whom the whole Chikka Ballapur is blaming as a heartless fellow for his cruel assault against my son.

The first thing they did was they got the peon to file a complaint, though late in the day against my son Govinda Rao and another younger son of mine and one of my son's witnesses. This was intended as counter-blast and to strike terror into the hearts of my son -- and his witnesses though there was not a grain of truth in it. If this case had been heard in Court its utter falsity and the perverseness of the motive with which it was launched would have been brought to light. But fortunately for the evil advisers of the Head Master it was ordered to be withdrawn by you.

There is enough of clear evidence to show that my son's witnesses were threatened, bullied, and some bought over by the friends and advisers of the Head Master -- Apart from this, an untrue and highly colored report was sent to you by the Head Master against my son and the witnesses in the case. Just before the case was to be heard, the Head Master dismissed my son who was the complainant in the case, took away the free-ships of two boys who were my son's witnesses and degraded my 2nd son for the sin of being the brother of Govinda Rao and for loudly crying and shedding helpless tears while his poor brother, in a foreign land, was brutally assaulted by a sturdy

sturdy sudra peon. This evident threat must be taken by any sane man as having been administered to frustrate my son from getting justice in a Court of Justice. For this was all. Seeing that the case was not withdrawn, a report, I have been assured, false in its surmises and untrue in most of its statements was sent to you against the son of Mr. Narayana Iyah, because the latter, in fearlessly advocating the cause of my son had hit rather hard in his address to the Court about the persons who were tampering with the evidence. The fact that Mr. Narayana Iyah's son had sent up his application for the Matriculation Examination and that he was expected in the first class, and that if he did not apologize for a wrong fathered upon, he would have lost the term by a week or so and finally lost the chance of appearing for the Examination must be remembered when considering the terms of his apology with reference to my son's case. The whole town sympathized, I am told, for this poor Dorasamy who had been brought up by his father as a perfect gentleman. The late Mr. C. Subba Rao District Munsiff of Chikka Ballapur among others, advised Dorasamy to tender the apology in whatever false terms it may be wanted and to be done with it and not to lose his fair chance of success and subsequently to bring to your notice his innocent case and to have the apology cancelled. I have touched about Dorasamy's apology at this length simply to point out to you that that apology is a sham and should not be considered in considering my son's case.

When finally my son's case came up for evidence the Assistant Masters who were witnesses in the case and
the

7

the lawyer that appeared for the peon pressed that the case may be withdrawn, and stated that the Head Master would see the boy restored to the school. The Head Master himself had told Mr C. Narayanasamy Chetty one of the leading gentlemen in the town that if the case be withdrawn he would help the boy. All this goes clearly to show that the masters did not want the evidence recorded lest it laid bare facts unpalatable to them. Mr Chetty however, relying on the words of the Head Master and his Assistants and the lawyer for the peon, influenced the boy to withdraw the case. My son accordingly stated to the Court that as certain gentlemen had intervened and promised to see him re-instated he would withdraw the case. And the case was withdrawn. Subsequently Mr Chettiar spoke to the Head Master about my son, but the Head Master was it appears, pleased to reply that he was unable to do anything but that I should address you on the subject.

From the vernacular papers published in Bangalore and a paper called "Mysore Herald" I learn that the friends of the Head Master have been attacking in scurrilous articles every one whom they think had any human sympathy for the cause of my son.

From the professional opinion I have taken in this part of the country of my son's case and the action of the Head Master with reference to dismissals and other punishments, I am assured that the Head Master has -- committed an offence by interfering with the witnesses in the middle of the case. A less patient man may be tempted to drag him to a Criminal Court and lay bare the whole

whole affair and thus wreak a lawful vengeance for the troubles he has brought on the shoulders of my innocent son. But I have neither the time nor the revenging spirit and shall leave the Head Master to answer before Him whose justice will never fail and to whom no garbled reports could be sent.

Having presented to you the bare facts which will be proved at any moment I humbly beg that you may graciously be pleased to reconsider my son's case and to order his restoration to the school.

As I believe that I will get solid justice from you if the facts are brought to your kind notice I have come to you now. A dismissal to a school boy means a capital punishment and unless a boy's guilt is so black he does never deserve that punishment. But when poor boys are made to suffer in this fashion and made scape goats for the -- grudges and jealousies between others it is highly regrettable.

Mr. Baba, the Director of Public Instruction, to whom I made this appeal informs me that he would not interfere in the matter.

Hence my appeal.

The Head master, to whom I applied about a month ago for the releasing certificates of my boys, has not as yet sent me the same.

I once more beg for justice.

I am Sir,

Your most obedient and humble servant,



Revenue Inspector, Dharmavaram Taluk,

Anantapur District

Compd. 2
4th March 1904

10

SL 2 / 9

The I Gt please
insure

No G 4943 Ed E1

25th April 04

to be obligingly.

In forwarding herewith
petition Registered No 2073 of
the 4th March 1904 from Mr
C Laxminarayana Rao, Rev
Inspector Dharmavaram
complaining against the
dismissal of his son C L
Govinda Rao from the V
Form of the Chikballapur
High School and praying
for his readmission
has the honor to request
that the I Gt will be
so good as to submit
a report showing el

Edn 81]

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL OF EDUCATION IN MYSORE,

No 10247 *Ure lo-1* ^{Bangalore} ~~Camp~~ Nandidrug 20th May 1904
421

From

H J BHABHA, Esq.,
Inspector General of Education in Mysore,

To

L Ananthaswami Rao Esq. B.A.
offg Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments
Bangalore

SIR

With reference to your docket no 4943 Ed, dated 5th April 1904 forwarding petition registered no 2073 dated 4th March 1904 from Revenue Inspector C Lakshminarayana Rao of Dharmavaram complaining of the dismissal of his son C L Govindarao from the II Form of the Chikballapur High School I have the honour to inform you that on the 17th September 1903 at about 2-40 P.M during the recess hour some boy, presumably C L Govindarao, wantonly broke the cornice of a pillar in the school verandah by throwing stones at it. The head school peon Muniswamy finding a few minutes later pieces of mortar strewn on the floor grumbled aloud that some bad boys had done the mischief. Doraswami a boy of the VI Form and son of Mr. Narayana Advocate, who was near, on hearing the peon grumbling began to abuse Muniswamy the peon in foul language. The peon asked his brother Yerappa the second peon of the school to pick up the pieces of mortar. Yerappa without referring to any particular boy while picking up the pieces of mortar spoke harshly of the boy who had done the mischief in general terms. This infuriated Doraswami and

he called in the help of C L Govinda Rao who was standing near C L Govindarao who is known to have been a turbulent boy at once lost his temper and used very foul language to Yerappa. Yerappa appears to have objected, when C L Govinda Rao assaulted the peon mercilessly with his fist and kicked him. The peon in despair is said to have returned two blows, but Govinda Rao continued to thrash him cruelly. Several boys including Doraswammiah interceded and wanted to separate the assaultants but without avail. The peon then held Govindarao's leg with which he was kicking and Govindarao fell down. Govindarao's brother C L Seshagiri Rao then ran to his help and the two brothers threw down the peon. Govinda Rao sat upon the peon while his brother belaboured him with kicks. Several more boys then interfered and separated the assaultants. Doraswammiah advised Govindarao to run to the Hospital Assistant for a medical certificate regarding the marks on his body, and both Govinda Rao and Doraswammiah rushed to the Head Master's house and complained bitterly against the peon concealing their own share in the affray. The Head Master promised to investigate the matter and hurried back to school soon after 3 PM, and told the boys to go to their classes. But neither Govindarao nor Doraswammiah would obey. They both disappeared without obtaining leave, and Govinda Rao who as was admitted subsequently by his father before the Head Master, was helped by Sowcar Doddamunnappa with the Court expenses, rushed to the Amildars Court and filed a criminal action against peon Yerappa and cited the Head Master and several of the Assistant Masters and boys as witnesses. Sowcar Doddamunnappa had a grievance

against the Head Master as his son D Basappa had been expelled from the High School for gross misconduct and insubordination in the previous July. The peon was sent to the Hospital for treatment and the Head Master gave him two days leave. Doraswami the same evening wrote a most insolent and insubordinate letter to the Head Master defending Govindakas and abusing the peon. The Head Master was wrong in not having made an immediate investigation or at least one on the next day. When the peon returned to duty, he called the peon's assailants on Saturday the 19th at 2 PM and also some teachers and boys who witnessed the quarrel for an investigation. The school had been held in the morning on that day being Saturday. Govinda Rao though called did not appear before the Head Master. He had been absent since the assault on the peon and sought the assistance of Mr. Karniah advocate, father of Doraswami, who appears to have promised him all legal assistance as he was not on friendly terms with the Head Master. At the Head Master's inquiry the peon's version of the incident was found to be true. All the six boys who were confederates of Govinda Rao had been tutored not to depose anything at the Head Master's inquiry, and they not only refused to depose but also to question the statements of the peon and others who accused Govindakas of a cowardly assault on the peon.

The children
were not
called

And the children
on the six boys
is got rid of
is going to
be bad soon

2 It will be seen that the assault occurred in the school premises and the school authorities were the proper persons from whom the aggrieved boys should have sought a remedy. Under bad advice however and in a spirit of contumacy and gross insubordination towards the school authorities, Govindakas who was

actively helped by Doraswamiiah and his father rushed to Court. The Head master though convinced of the guilt of Govindakas Doraswamiiah and others showed great weakness at a time when there was virtually a rebellion in the school by not inflicting prompt and severe punishment on the guilty boys. He shirked his own duty and made a tardy report to me dated 2nd October, which reached me at Mysore during the Dusserah on the 7th October when I immediately ordered the Head master to expel Govindakas and Doraswamiiah and to inflict suitable punishments on other boys. Doraswamiiah and Govindakas were given a chance to express sorrow for their conduct and ask for forgiveness before they were expelled. The former after considerable delay read a written apology before the whole school assembled in the hall, admitting his faults and begging to be excused. He was accordingly pardoned. But C L Govindakas defied the school authorities and refused to tender a similar apology. He was therefore expelled. And his case was treated by me under Section 8 of the Inter-School Rules. He was debarred from attending any High School in the Province up to January 1905.

3 From the above facts it will be seen that C L Govindakas has been justly punished, and that as much mercy as could be shown under the circumstances has been extended to him, both when he was given a chance of obtaining forgiveness if he apologised, and also by me after he was expelled by the Head master under Section 8 of Inter-School Rules. His father's appeal contains many childish statements the chief of which, that the Head master is one of several

Conspirators who are jealous of the prosperity of Mr. Karmah the advocate and are trying to ruin him. These statements need no refutation or notice.

4 The conduct of the boys of the Chikballapur High School has not been satisfactory for several years past and the place is notorious for mischievous people. Discipline has had to be enforced with a strong hand to improve the tone of the High School. The petition of Govindarao's father which I return herewith deserves little or no attention and may be summarily rejected. I am glad to report that after the severe measures that were adopted there has been considerable improvement in the tone and discipline of the school. I fear however that discipline will have to be maintained with a strong hand for some time longer until the boys learn to behave themselves better and the people of Chikballapur learn to value school discipline.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

H. Bhabha

Inspector General

MP

No 6634

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

BRANCH

Dated Bangalore

1904.

Endorsement granted to C Lakshmunarayana
Rao, Revenue Inspector, Dharmavaram,
in reply to his Petition Registered No, 2073 dated the 4th March
1904 complaining against the order of the Sgk
of Bd^r dismissing his son from the V Form of
the Chikballapur High School and praying
for his readmission

Petitioner is informed that Govt See no reason to interfere
with the orders of the Sgk of Bd^r and
that his petition is accordingly rejected

By Order,

Dewans
to 1st Cr's
orders

Secretary

P. Z. D.

SLC 45-

19

Ed F 20-1203-074

✓ S-5

no 6633 of 30/6/04

To the Sg of Ed in your

hi

With refce to correspondence
ending with your letter no 10247
of the 20th May 1904, reporting ⁴²¹
a petition presented by
Lakshminarayana Rao
against the dismisal of
an Juvada Rao from the
Chillbalkapur School, I am
directed to forward herewith
for info a copy of an
Encl- no 6634 of the 30/6
1904 repeating the petition

2 I am to observe that
the Headmaster of the School after
from the ~~proceedings~~ particulars
furnished with your letter to
have been wanting in tact
& promptitude & to enquire
whether it is not desirable to
replace him at Chillbalkapur
by a more competent man
(Disorder) ⁴²¹
no 6634

690 78] 20/10 1904-1905 20/10 21/10 SLB

H J BHABHA, Esq.,
INSPECTOR GENERAL OF EDUCATION
IN MYSORE.

B K Venkataravachandrapur,
Secretary to the Govt of H H
the Maharaja of Mysore,

dated 15th October 1904 }
despatched }
General & Rev. Dept.

received

General No 3644-5
No 215

Enclosures

With reference to his office
Memo No 3209 dated the
20-03-04
14th October 1904, has the
honour to invite attention
to this office No 975 dated
53
the 29th July 1904, and to
inform that the High
School at Chikballapur has
not yet recovered from
the effects of Plague
the average daily attend-
ance is still very low
being only about 10
The under signed hopes
to visit the School in
January next and
submit

GRS 28]

V ²² ₁₃ Sus
10/11

27

File No 20-03

Serial No 7

Diary No of letter replied to 2690

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore

GENERAL AND REVENUE
SECRETARIAT

READ —

the

Date of issue

Signed by

190

from the Mysore

10/11/04

by H. R. Am. am

Correspondence ending
with docket No 3644-5 of the
15th October 1904, stating that
he would inspect the Chik-
ballapur High School in
January next and submit
a report about
a report as to whether not
Mr B. Sreenivasanagar, Head
master of that School

Order thereon

3816 27/11/04
Ed 20-03

N(3816)2

27/11/04

Recorded with the observation

over

SUBJECT

